Daily coffee consumption and prevalence of nonmelanoma skin cancer in Caucasian women

Ernest L. Abel^{a,b}, Susan O. Hendrix^{a,c}, S. Gene McNeeley^{a,c}, Karen C. Johnson^d, Carol A. Rosenberg^e, Yasmin Mossavar-Rahmani^f, Mara Vitolins^g and Michael Kruger^{a,b}

The purpose of this study was to assess the relationship between daily coffee consumption and nonmelanoma skin cancer. This study was a cross-sectional analysis of women enrolled in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study (n = 93676). As nearly all cases of self-reported nonmelanoma skin cancer occurred among Caucasian women (97.8%), we focused our analyses on this group. Compared with nondrinkers, women drinking only caffeinated coffee on a daily basis had a 10.8% lower prevalence of nonmelanoma skin cancer. Consumption of six or more cups of caffeinated coffee per day was associated with a 36% reduction in nonmelanoma skin cancer. After adjusting for various demographic and life style variables, daily consumption of six or more cups was associated with a 30% reduced prevalence of nonmelanoma skin cancer. In contrast to caffeinated coffee, daily consumption of decaffeinated coffee was not associated with a significant change in self-reported nonmelanoma skin cancer for Caucasian women. Daily caffeinated coffee consumption was associated with a

dose-related decreased prevalence of nonmelanoma skin cancer in Caucasian women. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention* 16:446-452 © 2007 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

European Journal of Cancer Prevention 2007, 16:446-452

Keywords: coffee, menopause, nonmelanoma skin cancer, women

Departments of ^aObstetrics & Gynecology, ^bPsychology, ^cWomen's Health Initiative, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, ^dUniversity of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, ^aDepartment of Medicine, Evanston Northwestern Healthcare, Evanston, Illinois, ^fDepartment of Epidemiology and Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, New York and ^aDepartment of Public Health Sciences, Wake University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA

Correspondence to Dr Ernest L. Abel, PhD, C.S. Mott Center for Human Growth & Development, 275 E. Hancock, Detroit, MI 48201, USA Tel: +1 313 577 1068; fax: +1 313 577 8554; e-mail: eabel@wayne.edu

Received 25 April 2006 Accepted 28 June 2006

Introduction

Most epidemiological studies examining associations between coffee drinking and cancer have focused primarily on cancers of the bladder, pancreas, colorectum and breast. While some of these studies have reported an increased association for these cancers among coffee drinkers compared with nondrinkers, results have been inconsistent. The absence of a dose or duration-related association in studies reporting statistically significant associations also precludes concluding that a strong relationship exists between coffee consumption and nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) (e.g. Tavani and LaVecchia, 2000; Michels *et al.*, 2002).

Nonmelanoma skin cancer is one of the most common types of cancer in the United States (Strom and Yamamura, 1997). Lifetime probability of developing this form of cancer in the United States is one in five (Tavani and LaVecchia, 2000). About 1.3 million new cases are diagnosed each year (Tavani and LaVecchia, 2000). While mortality is low (about 0.1%) owing to low metastasis (Von Domarus and Stevens, 1984), morbidity and treatment costs are considerable (Johnson *et al.*, 1984). Although studies in animals have reported

strong evidence that caffeine inhibits skin cancers and other tumors (Wang et al., 1991, 1992, 1994; Huang et al., 1997), we are unaware of epidemiological studies examining the relationship between caffeine and NMSC, with the exception of two small studies that found a decrease in NMSC among coffee drinkers (Jacobsen et al., 1986; Corona et al., 2001).

The current study examined the relationship between caffeinated and decaffeinated coffee consumption and NMSC among more than 90 000 postmenopausal women participating in the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) Observational Study, taking into account confounding factors previously found to be associated with NMSC (Schottenfeld, 1996; Rosenberg *et al.*, 2004).

Methods

Study population

Postmenopausal women, 50–79 years of age, enrolled in the WHI Observational Study between 1993 and 1998, at 40 clinical centers throughout the United States who were either ineligible for the WHI clinical trial or declined to participate in it, were studied. Detailed eligibility criteria and study methods have been previously described in detail [The Women's Health Initiative (WHI) Study Group, 1998, as well as baseline characteristics of participants (Langer et al., 2003). Briefly, women of diverse ethnic backgrounds, representing the major ethnic groups in the United States, who volunteered to participate, were eligible if postmenopausal at time of enrollment. At time of enrollment, women completed screening and enrollment questionnaires that included questions about demographic and lifestyle variables, coffee consumption and history of skin cancer. The questionnaire categorized coffee and tea consumption each day, as none, one, two to three, four to five, or six or more cups per day. Respondents were also asked whether they consumed caffeinated coffee, decaffeinated coffee, or tea for the past 3 months.

Data analysis

Data analyses were based on information obtained at the baseline visit. Previous history of NMSC was based on self-report. A priori variables included in the analyses were those known or suspected as being associated with NMSC (Schottenfeld, 1996; Rosenberg et al., 2004) using the same criteria or cut points as Rosenberg et al. (2004). These included age at screening, ethnicity (native American, Asian, Black/African-American, Hispanic, White/Caucasian, other), latitude of the clinic where patients were enrolled (Southern < 35°N, Middle 35–40°N; Northern > 40°N); body mass index (BMI) $(< 25 \text{ or } \ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, history of smoking (current, past, nonsmoker), alcohol use (nondrinker, past drinker, < 7 or ≥ 7 drinks per week), menopausal hormone therapy (current users, past users, defined as those receiving therapy for > 3 months but not receiving therapy at time of interview and nonusers), education (highest grade completed: < 12 years, high school, some college, college degree or postgraduate school), household income (< \$20 000, \$20 000–49 000, > \$50 000), percentage daily calories from fat (< 30.0, 30.0-35.0, 35.1-40.0,> 40.0), physical activity (METs/week) and β -carotene intake (µg); the latter two were categorized into four levels based on the 25th percentile cut-points (0-25, 26-50, 51-75, > 75), having a current healthcare provider and marital status (never married, divorced or separated, presently married). As in the Rosenberg et al. (2004) study, we considered women reporting daily energy intakes of < 600 or > 5000 kcal/day as unreliable and excluded them from our analyses. Demographic and lifestyle variables, including daily coffee (regular and decaffeinated) and tea consumption, were evaluated for their association with NMSC by χ^2 tests. Sample size for each analysis differed because of missing data values. Other sources of caffeine intake (e.g. colas) were not included in the regression model because relevant information was not available. Stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to construct a dose-response model for caffeinated coffee's association with NMSC. In order to avoid the spurious choice of variables in the model as a consequence of the large sample size, we used a criteria of a significant F value to enter and another F value to remove from the analyses of 0.01 and 0.05, respectively. The data were analyzed using the SPSS (version 11.0) statistical package for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Results

A total of 93 676 women of diverse ethnic backgrounds, 16.4% of whom were minority, provided baseline data. Overall prevalence of self-reported NMSC among all women in the study was 8.3% (n = 7775). As the majority of women in this study were Caucasian (83.6%), and nearly all cases (97.8%) of self-reported NMSC occurred among these women, and because ethnicity was significantly related to the occurrence of NMSC $(\chi^2 = 1265.3, d.f. = 5, P < 0.001)$, we focused on this subgroup of 78013 women. Of these 78013 women, information with respect to self-reported NMSC was missing for 638 women. A further 467 (0.6%) had missing data with respect to daily coffee drinking. Daily coffee consumption for the remaining 77 373 women is shown in Table 1; 40.1% (n = 31048) of these women only drank caffeinated coffee, 15.0% (n = 11597) only drank decaffeinated coffee, 16.5% (n = 12759) drank both caffeinated and decaffeinated coffee, and 28.4% (n = 21969) reported drinking no coffee.

The relationship between demographic/life style variables and NMSC is shown in Table 2.

Latitude of residence was significantly associated with NMSC; the highest prevalence occurred among women living in the southernmost latitude (12.6%) and the lowest in the northernmost latitude (7.6%). Higher BMI (≥ 25) was also significantly associated with NMSC. The higher the education and income levels, the higher the prevalence of NMSC. Smoking and alcohol consumption were both significantly associated with NMSC. Past or current users of menopausal hormone replacement therapy had a higher prevalence of NMSC than nonusers, but only the differences between past users or current users, and never users were significant (P < 0.001 for each). Physical activity was positively associated with the prevalence of NMSC whereas percentage of daily dietary calories from fat was negatively related. Beta-carotene intake was significantly related to NMSC but the association with NMSC was not linear.

The relationship between daily caffeinated coffee consumption and NMSC is shown in Table 3. Drinking caffeinated coffee was associated with a decreased prevalence of self-reported NMSC compared with nondrinkers (9.1 vs. 10.2%, respectively). This association

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of Caucasian women with and without skin cancer^a

60-69 30 995			Noncases (n=69893)		Cases (r		
60-69 30 995	ariable/	Levels	n Percentage		n	Percentage	χ^2 (d.f.) P
Bo-69 30 995	Age group at screening (years)	50-59	21 907	31.3	1515	20.2	535.5 (2) < 0.00
Missing		60-69	30 995	44.3	3413	45.6	, ,
Massing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							
Calculation				2		•	
HS/ĞED 11736 16.9 946 7.5 School after HS 25 467 36.7 2606 35.1 College degree or 29 828 43.0 3714 50.0 Higher Missing 513 0.7 48 0.6 School after HS 250 0000 8936 12.8 838 11.2 15 \$20 0000 8936 12.8 838 11.2 15 \$20 0000 8936 12.8 838 11.2 15 \$20 0000 \$25 555 40.8 30.73 41.1 \$20 0000 49 999 28 535 40.8 30.73 41.1 \$20 0000 49 999 28 535 40.8 30.73 41.1 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 3002 40.4 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 3002 40.4 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 3002 40.4 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 3002 40.4 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 3002 40.4 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 3002 40.4 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 3002 40.4 \$25 0000 27 576 39.5 \$20 0000 40.4 \$25 0000 40.4 \$20 0000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 0000 40.4 \$25 0000 40.4 \$25 0000 40.4 \$25 0000 40.4 \$25 0000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 00000 40.4 \$25 000000 40	ducation	•		3.4		0.3	177.0 (3) < 0.001
School after HS 25 467 36.7 2606 35.1	ducation						177.0 (3) < 0.001
College degree or 28 928 43,0 3714 50,0 higher higher Missing 513 0.7 48 0.6 5 \$20 0000 8936 12.8 888 11.2 15 \$50 000-49 999 28535 40.8 3073 41.1 \$50 000-49 999 28535 40.8 3073 41.1 \$50 000 27 576 39.5 3026 40.4 Missing 4846 6.9 545 73 36dy mass index <22 5 29.52 57,0 3889 52.0 Missing 819 1.2 77 1.0 Aenopausal hormone therapy Never used 27 091 38.8 2767 37,0 27 Past user 10 435 14.9 1281 17.1 Current user 32317 46.2 3426 45.8 Missing 50 0.1 8 0.1 Aenopausal hormone therapy Never used 27 091 38.8 2767 37,0 27 Past user 10 435 14.9 1281 17.1 Current user 32317 46.2 3426 45.8 Missing 50 0.1 8 0.1 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 45.8 Missing 50 0.1 8 0.1 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3569 34.3 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 45.8 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 37.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 3426 36.9 34.3 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 34.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwest 32317 46.2 Aenopausal hormone therapy Northwe							
Nigher Missing 513 0.7 88 0.6 1.2 1.5 1.2 1.							
Second S		higher					
\$20 000 -49 999							
\$50 000 27576 39.5 3026 40.4 Missing 4846 6.9 545 73 Past user 10.435 14.9 3516 470 71 Past user 10.435 14.9 1221 171 Current user 32.317 46.2 3426 41.5 34.5 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 38.82 27.5 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 36.9 34.2 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 36.9 34.2 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 36.9 34.2 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 36.9 34.2 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 36.9 34.2 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 36.9 34.2 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 12.5 27.5 27.6 36.9 34.3 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 36.9 34.5 26.9 34.3 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 36.9 34.5 26.9 34.3 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 36.9 34.5 26.9 34.3 Region by latitude Southern 50.5 36.9	ncome category						15.2 (2) < 0.001
Missing Mashing Mas							
Segregation		\$50 000	27 576	39.5	3026	40.4	
Section Sect		Missing	4846	6.9	545	7.3	
Section Sect	Body mass index	< 25	29 252	41.9	3516	47.0	71.9 (1) < 0.001
Missing 819 1.2 77 1.0 Part user 27991 38.8 2767 370 27 Past user 10 435 14.9 1281 171 Past user 10 435 14.9 1281 171 Past user 22 317 46.2 3426 45.8 Past user 10 435 14.9 1281 171 Past user 23 217 46.2 3426 45.8 Past user 10 435 14.9 1281 171 Past user 23 217 46.2 3426 45.8 Past user 25 25 26 376 36.9 372 Past user 10 435 14.9 1215 275 276 36.9 372 Past user 10 435 14.9 1215 275 276 36.9 372 Past user 10 435 14.9 1215 275 276 36.9 372 Past user 25 275 2763 36.9 372 Past user 25 276 Past user	,	>25	39822	57.0	3889	52.0	
Never used 27091 38.8 2767 37.0 27.							
Past user 10 435 14.9 1281 17.1 Current user 32 317 46.2 3426 45.8 Missing 50 0.1 8 0.1 Midele 35-40'N 19 600 28.0 2150 28.7 Morthern >40'N 31 078 44.5 2569 34.3 Missing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Menopausal hormone therapy	•					27.3 (2) < 0.001
Current user 32 317 46.2 3426 45.8 Missing 50 0.1 8 0.1	padodi normono morapy						27.0 (2) < 0.001
Region by latitude Southern <35"N 19215 275 2763 36.9 372 Middle 35-40"N 19600 28.0 2150 28.7 Northern >40"N 19600 28.0 2150 28.7 Northern >40"N 19600 28.0 2150 28.7 Northern >40"N 31078 44.5 2569 34.3 2560 38.3 2560							
legion by latitude Southern <35°N 19215 275 2763 36.9 372°N Middle 35-40°N 19 600 28.0 2150 28.7 Northern > 40°N 31 078 44.5 2569 34.3 Smoking status Never 34 318 49.1 3609 48.2 7.2 Past 30 666 43.9 3391 45.3 7.2 Current 4075 5.8 397 5.3 1.2 85 1.1 1.2 85 1.1 1.2 85 1.1 1.2 85 1.1 1.2 85 1.1 1.2 85 1.1 1.2 8.3 17 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5 1.1 1.2 8.5							
Middle 35-40°N 19 600 28.0 2150 28.7							(-)
Northern > 40 °N 31 078	degion by latitude						372.5 (2) < 0.001
Smoking status Missing Never (ask 31 ask 31 bs) (ask 31 ask 31 as							
Simple Status Never 34 318 49.1 3609 48.2 7.5				44.5		34.3	
Past Current 4075 5.8 397 5.3 Current 4075 5.8 397 5.3 Missing 834 1.2 85 1.1 Alcohol Nondrinker 6282 9.0 623 8.3 17. Past drinker 11868 170 1214 16.2		Missing					
Current Missing	Smoking status	Never	34318	49.1	3609	48.2	7.5 (2) < 0.001
Missing 834 1.2 85 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3		Past	30 666	43.9	3391	45.3	
Nondrinker 6282 9.0 623 8.3 17.		Current	4075	5.8	397	5.3	
Past drinker 11 868 17.0 1214 16.2 59.5 7.5 drinks per week 41 686 59.6 4452 59.5 59.5 7.5 drinks per week 41 686 59.6 4452 59.5 59.5 7.5 drinks per week 9723 13.9 1158 15.5 59.5 Missing 334 0.5 35 0.5 59.5 59.5 59.5 59.5 59.5 59.5 59.5		Missing	834	1.2	85	1.1	
Past drinker 11 868 17.0 1214 16.2 59.5 74 drinks per week 41 686 59.6 4452 59.5 75.7 drinks per week 41 686 59.6 4452 59.5 75.5 74 drinks per week 9723 13.9 1158 15.5 15.5 Missing 334 0.5 35 0.5 15.5 15.5 Missing 334 0.5 35 0.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5	Alcohol	Nondrinker	6282	9.0	623	8.3	17.4 (3) < 0.001
Contact Con		Past drinker	11868	17.0	1214	16.2	
7+ drinks per week 9723 13.9 1158 15.5 Missing 334 0.5 35 0.5 Otal calories from fat (%) <30 31 969 45.7 3560 47.6 22 30-35 14 161 20.3 1578 21.1 35-40 10 889 15.6 1143 15.3 >40 10 776 15.4 1016 13.6 Missing 20 78 3.0 187 2.5 Otal carotene (log μg as percentiles) 25% (<2296) 17 144 24.5 1590 21.3 68 50% (2296-3479) 16 866 24.1 1739 23.2 75% (3480-5275) 16 876 24.1 1866 25.2 100% (>5275) 16 854 24.1 2085 27.6 Missing 21 53 3.1 202 2.7 Physical activity total METs per week 25% (<3.67) 17 518 25.1 1642 21.9 41 50% (3.68-10.5) 18 219 26.1 1970 26.3 75% (10.51-20.5) 16 517 23.6 1844 24.6 100% (>20.5) 17 034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 Ourrent healthcare provider Yes 66 204 94.7 7154 95.6 15 No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 34 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Otal carrier None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Otal carrier None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Otal carrier Otal carrier 22							
Missing 334 0.5 35 0.5 35 0.5 36 22 22 27 25 25 25 25 26 27 26 27 27 27 27 27		•					
Otal calories from fat (%) <30		•					
30–35 14 161 20.3 1578 21.1 35–40 10 889 15.6 1143 15.3 > 40 10 776 15.4 1016 13.6 Missing 2078 3.0 187 2.5 364 2296 3479 16 866 24.1 1739 23.2 75% (3296–3479) 16 866 24.1 1739 23.2 75% (3480–5275) 16 876 24.1 1866 25.2 100% (>5275) 16 854 24.1 2085 27.6 Missing 2153 3.1 202 2.7 2	otal calories from fat (0%)						22.1 (3) < 0.001
35-40 10 889 15.6 1143 15.3 240 10776 15.4 1016 13.6 13.6 143 15.3 240 10776 15.4 1016 13.6 143 15.3 25 164 1016 13.6 145 1016 13.6 145 1016 13.6 145 1016 13.6 145 1016 13.6 145 1016 13.6 145 145 1590 16.8 1	otal calones from lat (40)						22.1 (3) < 0.001
Seta carotene (log μg as percentiles)							
Missing 20.78 3.0 187 2.5 2.5 25% (<2296) 17 144 24.5 1590 21.3 68 50% (2296–3479) 16.866 24.1 1739 23.2 75% (3480–5275) 16.876 24.1 1866 25.2 100% (>5275) 16.854 24.1 2085 27.6 Missing 21.53 3.1 202 2.7 Missing 21.53 3.1 202 2.7 Missing 25% (<3.67) 17.518 25.1 1642 21.9 41 50% (3.68–10.5) 18.219 26.1 1970 26.3 75% (10.51–20.5) 16.517 23.6 1844 24.6 100% (>20.5) 17.034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 Ourrent healthcare provider Yes 66.204 94.7 71.54 95.6 15 No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21.555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44.959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Oally coffee drinking None 19.723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28.243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10.372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11.553 16.5 1206 16.4							
Beta carotene (log μg as percentiles) 25% (<2296)							
50% (2296-3479) 16 866 24.1 1739 23.2 75% (3480-5275) 16 876 24.1 1866 25.2 100% (>5275) 16 854 24.1 2085 27.6 Missing 21 53 3.1 202 2.7 2.5 2		. •					
75% (3480-5275) 16 876 24.1 1866 25.2 100% (>5275) 16 854 24.1 2085 27.6 Missing 21 53 3.1 202 2.7 Physical activity total METs per week 25% (<3.67) 17 518 25.1 1642 21.9 41 50% (3.68-10.5) 18 219 26.1 1970 26.3 75% (10.51-20.5) 16 517 23.6 1844 24.6 100% (>20.5) 17 034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 Current healthcare provider Yes 66 204 94.7 7154 95.6 15 No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Daily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Occidinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4	Beta carotene (log μg as percentiles)	25% (<2296)		24.5			68.6 (3) < 0.001
100% (>5275) 16 854 24.1 2085 27.6 Missing 21 53 3.1 202 2.7 22.5 22.		50% (2296–3479)		24.1	1739	23.2	
Missing 2153 3.1 202 2.7 Physical activity total METs per week 25% (<3.67) 17518 25.1 1642 21.9 41		75% (3480–5275)	16 876	24.1	1866	25.2	
Physical activity total METs per week 25% (<3.67) 17518 25.1 1642 21.9 41 50% (3.68-10.5) 18219 26.1 1970 26.3 75% (10.51-20.5) 16517 23.6 1844 24.6 100% (>20.5) 17034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 15 No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 20.4 20.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4		100% (>5275)	16 854	24.1	2085	27.6	
50% (3.68-10.5) 18 219 26.1 1970 26.3 75% (10.51-20.5) 16 517 23.6 1844 24.6 100% (> 20.5) 17 034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.		Missing	21 53	3.1	202	2.7	
50% (3.68-10.5) 18 219 26.1 1970 26.3 75% (10.51-20.5) 16 517 23.6 1844 24.6 100% (>20.5) 17 034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.9 0.6	Physical activity total METs per week	. •	17518	25.1	1642	21.9	41.4 (3) < 0.001
75% (10.51-20.5) 16.517 23.6 1844 24.6 100% (>20.5) 17.034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 Current healthcare provider Yes 66.204 94.7 7154 95.6 15 No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21.555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44.959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Oaily coffee drinking None 19.723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28.243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10.372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11.553 16.5 1206 16.4							
100% (>20.5) 17 034 24.4 1979 26.5 Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 Current healthcare provider Yes 66 204 94.7 7154 95.6 15 No							
Missing 605 0.9 47 0.6 Current healthcare provider Yes 66 204 94.7 7154 95.6 15 No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Daily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 19 723 4.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4							
Current healthcare provider Yes 66 204 94.7 7154 95.6 15 No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Daily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 19 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4							
No 3130 4.5 261 3.5 Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Daily coffee drinking None 19723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4	Surrent healthcare provider						15.7 (1) < 0.001
Missing 559 0.8 67 0.9 Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Daily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4	outrent neatthcare provider						13.7 (1) < 0.001
Marital status Never married 3110 4.4 336 4.5 7.5 Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Oaily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4							
Divorced/separated 21 555 30.8 2417 32.3 Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Paily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4	Annikal akakua						700 (0) <0.00
Presently married 44 959 64.3 4697 62.6 Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Paily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4	riaritai status						7.23 (2) < 0.03
Missing 269 0.4 32 0.4 Paily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4		•					
Daily coffee drinking None 19 723 28.2 2246 30.0 31 Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4							
Caffeinated only 28 243 40.4 2805 37.5 Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4			269	0.4	32		
Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4	Daily coffee drinking	None	19723	28.2	2246	30.0	31.3 (3) < 0.001
Decaffeinated only 10 372 14.8 1225 16.4 Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4	•	Caffeinated only	28 243	40.4	2805	37.5	
Both 11 553 16.5 1206 16.4		•					
		•					
Daily tea drinking Yes 18 360 26.8 2029 27.1	Daily tea drinking						2.6 (1) NS
No 50 999 72.4 5392 72.1	zany toa uniming						2.0 (1) 110
Missing 534 0.8 61 0.8							

^aChi-squared did not include 'missing' data categories. METs, metabolic equivalent tasks.

was systematically related to the level of consumption. For those drinking six or more cups of caffeinated coffee per day, prevalence decreased from 10.3 to 6.7%, compared with nondrinkers, a 35% decrease in prevalence.

After adjusting for covariates, drinking caffeinated coffee was associated with a dose-related decreased prevalence of self-reported NMSC among Caucasian women in the regression model. A polynomial contrast analysis indicated that the linear trend for the relationship between

Table 2 Demographic/lifestyle variables and risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer

		Cru	de OR	Unadjusted OR		
Variable	Category	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Age (years)	50-59	_	_	_	_	
	60-69	1.05	(1.01-1.10)	1.05	(1.02-1.09)	
	70–79	1.45	(1.38-1.52)	1.44	(1.39-1.49)	
Alcohol	Nondrinker					
	Past drinker	1.09	(0.94-1.27)	1.03	(0.93-1.14)	
	< 7 drinks per week	1.24	(1.09-1.42)	1.08	(0.99-1.18)	
	>7 drinks per week	1.38	(1.19-1.60)	1.20	(1.08-1.33)	
Education	<12 years					
	High school degree	1.31	(1.03-1.65)	1.13	(0.95-1.34)	
	After high school	1.59	(1.27-2.00)	1.43	(1.22-1.68)	
	College degree	1.90	(1.52-2.39)	1.74	(1.48-2.04)	
Hormone replacement therapy status	Never used					
,	Past user	1.17	(1.07-1.28)	1.20	(1.12-1.29)	
	Current user	1.04	(0.97-1.11)	1.04	(0.99-1.09)	
Region	Southern					
	Middle	0.99	(0.95-1.04)	1.00	(0.97 - 1.04)	
	Northern	0.76	(0.73-0.80)	0.76	(0.73 - 0.78)	
Body mass index	<25					
•	≥ 25	0.89	(0.83-0.94)	0.81	(0.77 - 0.85)	
Calories from fat (%)	<30					
• •	30-35	1.03	(0.94-1.13)	1.00	(0.94-1.06)	
	35.1-40	1.05	(0.96-1.15)	0.94	(0.88-1.01)	
	>40	1.19	(1.08-1.30)	0.85	(0.79-0.91)	

OR. odds ratio: Cl. confidence interval.

Table 3 Daily caffeinated coffee drinking and risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer among Caucasian women (77 373)

Cups	n	Percentage	Percentage with skin cancer	Odds ratio ^a	95% Confidence interval	Unadjusted odds ratio ^b
0°	33 568	43.4	10.3	1.0	_	_
1	12584	16.3	10.2	0.96	(0.89-1.03)	0.99 (0.92-1.05)
2-3	23 133	29.9	9.1	0.87	(0.81-0.92)	0.87 (0.82-0.92)
4-5	6352	8.2	8.1	0.83	(0.75-0.92)	0.76 (0.69-0.84)
6 or more	1738	2.2	6.7	0.70	(0.60-0.88)	0.63 (0.52-0.76)
Total	77 375		9.7			

^aOdds ratio variables included in stepwise regression model: age at screening, alcohol consumption, smoking, income, region of residence by latitude, education, menopausal hormone therapy, body mass index, and β-carotene intake.

Table 4 Daily intake of decaffeinated coffee and tea and unadjusted odds ratio (OR) of nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) risk

Decaffeinated coffee						Tea						
Cups	n	Percentage	Percentage NMSC	OR	95% CI	Cups	n	Percentage	Percentage NMSC	OR	95% CI	
0	29 777	54.3	9.1	_	_	0	56391	73.9	9.6	_	_	
1	11537	21.0	10.0	1.12	1.04-1.20	1	9653	12.6	10.1	1.06	0.99-1.14	
2-3	10 803	19.7	10.1	1.13	1.05-1.22	2-3	8105	10.6	11.0	1.05	0.97-1.14	
4-5	2246	4.1	8.8	0.96	0.83-1.12	4-5	1683	2.2	9.1	0.95	0.80-1.12	
>6	483	0.9	9.7	1.08	0.80-1.46	>6	488	0.6	8.2	0.84	0.61-1.17	
Total	54 846		9.5			Total	76320		9.7			

Cl. confidence interval.

caffeinated coffee consumption and the risk of NMSC was statistically significant (P < 0.001).

As chronic cumulative exposure to solar ultraviolet radiation is the most important factor associated with NMSC (Taylor and Sober, 1996), we also tested for an interaction between cups of caffeinated coffee and latitude, for risk of NMSC. The interaction was not statistically significant.

We also conducted a subgroup analysis of the relationship between coffee consumption and NMSC stratifying for histories of other cancers. The relationship between caffeinated coffee consumption and NMSC was not significant for women with a history of cancer other than NMSC. A significant dose–response relationship, however, was still evident for those women without a history of other cancers. The odds ratio for six or more for the latter cups was 0.70 (confidence interval: 0.56-0.89, P < 0.001).

^bUnadjusted odds ratio based on cups of regular coffee as the only predictor in the model.

^cDrinks either no coffee or decaffeinated coffee only.

Although statistically significant as a sole factor, consumption of decaffeinated coffee or tea was not significantly associated with NMSC for Caucasian women in the logistic regression (see Table 4).

Discussion

Nonmelanoma skin cancer is the most common type of skin cancer among Caucasians in the United States (Strom and Yamamura, 1997). We focused on caffeinated coffee consumption in this study, because experiments in animals have found that caffeine reduced the occurrence of skin cancers in mice exposed to relatively large amounts of ultraviolet radiation (Wang et al., 1991, 1992, 1994; Huang et al., 1997), and because of corroborative reports from small-scale epidemiological studies that coffee had a small but significant protective association with NMSC in humans (Jacobsen et al., 1986; Corona et al., 2001). Although cola and tea drinks are another source of caffeine intake, the questionnaire did not distinguish between different sodas or teas, which differ in the amounts of caffeine they contain, or assess patterns of soda intake. A recent study also indicated that daily caffeine intake from soft drinks for women 55-64 years old is less than 10% and for women 65 years of age and older, less than 4% (Frary et al., 2005).

Our cross-sectional epidemiological study examined the association between daily coffee consumption and NMSC in a relatively large sample of postmenopausal women, 50 years of age or older, using baseline data at time of initial entry to the WHI Observational Study, and took into account many of the potentially confounding variables previously found to be associated with cancer (Schottenfeld, 1996; Rosenberg et al., 2004). As nearly all the instances of NMSC occurred in Caucasian women, we focused on this group. While basal cell carcinomas are the primary type of NMSCs among Caucasians in the United States (Tavani and LaVecchia, 2000), the other common condition included in this type of skin cancer is cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (Marks, 1996). Unfortunately, the data available for our study did not include information with respect to the different types of NMSCs. No reason, however, exists to suspect that the women in this study differed from the general population in typology.

As previously reported, we found that residential sunlight exposure was related to the prevalence of NMSC (Webb et al., 1988; Taylor and Sober, 1996; Strom and Yamamura, 1997). Prevalence was highest for women living in the southernmost latitudes and lowest for those in the northernmost latitudes. Greater body weight (BMI > 25 kg/m²) and decreased physical activity, on the other hand, were significantly associated with a reduced prevalence of NMSC. One likely explanation is that women who are overweight and are less active do not spend as much time outdoors and therefore have less exposure to ultraviolet radiation. We did not, however, find a significant interaction between coffee consumption and latitude on NMSC. Higher income and education were also both related to a higher prevalence of NMSC, possibly because they may be associated with more outdoor leisure activity and vacationing in sunny climates for those individuals. Menopausal hormone therapy was also associated with a higher prevalence of NMSC. Prevalence of NMSC was higher for women with current healthcare providers, suggesting that the overall prevalence of NMSC is underestimated.

Diet and body weight are known to influence the occurrence of cancers (Black, 1998; Riboli, 2001; Kaaks et al., 2002), but we did not find such an association with NMSC. We did, however, corroborate a previous report of the association between increased alcohol consumption and past smoking with a higher prevalence of NMSC (Aubry and MacGibbon, 1985; Grodstein et al., 1995; Veierod et al., 1997; De Hertog et al., 2001). We also found that past smoking was associated with an increased prevalence of NMSC, although current smokers had the lowest rate of NMSC.

Daily consumption of caffeinated coffee was associated with a dose-related decreased prevalence on NMSC of nearly 5% for each cup. Daily consumption of six or more cups of caffeinated coffee was associated with a decreased prevalence of 31%. Daily consumption of decaffeinated coffee or tea, on the other hand, was not related to a significantly altered prevalence of NMSC.

One of the strengths of this study was that it included a relatively large population, enabling us to evaluate daily coffee consumption while controlling for other potentially confounding variables. This, however, was also a crosssectional study, and therefore the temporal relationship between coffee consumption and NMSC could not be elucidated from these data. It is unlikely, however, that women began drinking coffee only after a diagnosis of NMSC. Several limitations are also associated with this study. One is the potential recruitment bias associated with any volunteer study. It is possible, for instance, that women with cancer or concerned about cancer were overrepresented. On the other hand, healthy women, concerned about cancer, may be over-represented.

Recall bias is another concern. Although the current study relied on self-report for a history of NMSC, recent studies suggest that self reported NMSC has a high degree of accuracy (Ming et al., 2004). Recall bias also has to be considered with respect to the amount of coffee and tea consumption. Assessment error is inherent in questionnaire-based dietary information, so that estimated relationships are not objective. As diet likely changed for

many women in the years prior to assessment, the dietary information recorded at enrollment may not reflect general patterns of diet over the lifespan. Denial and under-reporting also has to be considered in the context of smoking and alcohol use (Abel, 1998). We, however, are not aware of any data suggesting differential underreporting of either smoking or alcohol use in women with and without NMSC. Information about coffee and tea use may also be problematic as women were asked to recall their levels of daily consumption at the time of enrollment and consumption levels may have not been similar at the time women were diagnosed with skin cancer.

Despite these caveats, the decreased prevalence in NMSC associated with consumption of daily consumption of caffeinated coffee that we observed was doserelated and consistent with other studies. Among the possible explanations for caffeine's protective effect on NMSC that have been suggested is an antioxidant effect (Kuo, 1997; Trevisanato and Kim, 2000) and/or inhibition of DNA synthesis and cell division, making cells less susceptible to carcinogenesis (Timson, 1997).

We conclude that daily caffeinated coffee consumption is associated with a dose-related decreased prevalence of NMSC in Caucasian women. Ideally, the observations reported here and our conclusion should be explored in future prospective studies.

Acknowledgements

The WHI program is funded by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, US Department of Health and Human Services.

References

- Abel EL (1998). Fetal alcohol abuse syndrome. New York: Plenum Press. Aubry F, MacGibbon B (1985). Risk factors of squamous cell carcinoma of the skin: a case-control study in the Montreal region. Cancer 55:907-911.
- Black HS (1998). Influence of dietary factors on actinically-induced skin cancer. Mutat Res 422:185-190.
- Corona R, Dogliotti E, D'Errico M, Sera F, Levarone I, Baliva G, et al. (2001). Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma in a Mediterranean population: role of recreational sun exposure early in life. Arch Dermatol 37:1162-1168.
- De Hertog SAE, Wensveen CAH, Bastiaens MT, Kiehich CJ, Berkhout MJP, Westendorp RGJ, et al. (2001). Relation between smoking and skin cancer. I Clin Oncol 19:231-238.
- Frary CF, Johnson RK, Wang MQ (2005). Food sources and intakes of caffeine in the diets of persons in the United States. J Am Diet Assoc 105:110-113.
- Grodstein F, Speizer FE, Hunter DJ (1995). A prospective study of incident squamous cell carcinoma of the skin in the nurses' health study. J Natl Cancer Inst 87:1061-1066.
- Huang M-T, Xie J-G, Wang ZY, Ho CT, Lou YR (1997). Carcinogenesis in SKH-1 mice: demonstration of caffeine as a biologically important constituent of tea. Cancer Res 57:2623-2629.
- Jacobsen BK, Bjelke R, Kvale G, Heuch I (1986). Coffee drinking, mortality, and cancer incidence: results from a Norwegian prospective study. J Natl Cancer Invest 76:823-834.
- Johnson ML, Johnson KG, Engel A (1984). Prevalence, morbidity, and cost of dermatologic diseases. J Am Acad Dermatol 11:930-936.
- Kaaks R, Lukanova A, Kurzer MS (2002). Obesity, endogenous hormones, and endometrial cancer risk. A synthetic review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prevent 11:1531-1543.

- Kuo SM (1997). Dietary flavonoid and cancer prevention; evidence and potential mechanism. Crit Rev Oncol 8:47-69.
- Langer RD, White E, Lewis CE, Kotchen J, Hendrix S, Trevisan M (2003). The Women's Health Initiative Observational Study: baseline characteristics of participants and reliability of baseline measures. Ann Epidemiol 13: S107-S121.
- Marks R (1996). Squamous cell carcinoma. Lancet 347:735-738.
- Michels KB, Holmberg L, Bergkvist L, Wolk A (2002). Coffee, tea, and caffeine consumption and breast cancer incidence in a cohort of Swedish women. Ann Epidemiol 12:21-26.
- Ming ME, Ross R, Levy RM, Ole J, Hoffstad MA, Filip J, et al. (2004). Validity of patient self reported history of skin cancer. Arch Dermatol 140:730-735.
- Riboli E (2001). The European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC): plans and progress. J Nutr 131:170S-175S.
- Rosenberg CA, Greenland P, Khandekar J, Loar A, Ascensao J, Lopez AM (2004). Association of nonmelanoma skin cancer with second malignancy. The Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. Cancer 100:130-138.
- Schottenfeld D (1996). Basal-cell carcinoma of the skin: a harbinger of cutanenous and noncutaneous multiple primary cancer (editorial). Ann Intern Med 125:852-854.
- Strom SS, Yamamura Y (1997). Epidemiology of nonmelanoma skin cancer. Clin Plast Surg 24:627-636.
- Tavani A, LaVecchia C (2000). Coffee and cancer: a review of epidemiological studies, 1990-1999. Eur J Cancer Prevent 9:241-256.
- Taylor CR, Sober AJ (1996). Sun exposure and skin disease. Ann Rev Med
- The Women's Health Initiative (WHI) Study Group (1998). Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial and observational study. Control Clin Trials 19:61-109
- Timson J (1997). Caffeine. Mutat Res 47:1-52.
- Trevisanato S, Kim YI (2000). Tea and health. Nutr Rev 58:1-10.
- Veierod MB, Thelle DS, Laake P (1997). Diet and risk of cutaneous malignant melanoma: a prospective study of 50 757 Norwegian men and women. Int J Cancer 71:600-604.
- Von Domarus H, Stevens PJ (1984). Metastatic basal cell carcinoma: report of five cases and review of 170 cases in the literature. I Am Acad Dermatol 10:1043-1060
- Wang ZY, Agarwal R, Bickers DR, Mukhtar H (1991). Protection against ultraviolet B radiation-induced photocarcinogenesis in hairless mice by green tea polyphenols. Carcinogenesis 12:1527-1530.
- Wang ZY, Huang M-T, Ferraro T, Wong CQ, Lou YR, Reuhl K, et al. (1992). Inhibitory effect of green tea in drinking water on tumorigenesis by ultraviolet light and 12-O-tetradecanolylphorbol-13-acetate in the skin of SKH-1 mice. Cancer Res 52:1162-1170.
- Wang ZY, Huang MT, Lou Y-R, Xie JG, Reuhl KR, Newmark HL, et al. (1994). Inhibitory effects of black tea, green tea, decaffeinated black tea, and decaffeinated green tea on ultraviolet B light-induced skin carcinogenesis in 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene-initiated SKH-1 mice. Cancer Res 54: 3428-3435.
- Webb AR, Kline L, Holick MF (1988). Influence of season and latitude on the cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D3: exposure to winter sunlight in Boston and Edmonton will not promote vitamin D3 synthesis in human skin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 667:373-378.

Appendix

Short list of Women's Health Initiative investigators Program office

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland: Barbara Alving, Jacques Rossouw, Linda Pottern.

Clinical coordinating center

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington: Ross Prentice, Garnet Anderson, Andrea LaCroix, Charles L. Kooperberg, Ruth E. Patterson, Anne McTiernan; Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina: Sally Shumaker; Medical Research Laboratories, Highland Heights, Kentucky: Evan Stein; University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, California: Steven Cummings.

Clinical centers

Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York: Sylvia Wassertheil-Smoller; Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas: Jennifer Hays; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts: JoAnn Manson; Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island: Annlouise R. Assaf; Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia: Lawrence Phillips; Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington: Shirley Beresford; George Washington University Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia: Judith Hsia; Harbor-UCLA Research and Education Institute, Torrance, California: Rowan Chlebowski; Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, Oregon: Evelyn Whitlock; Kaiser Permanente Division of Research, Oakland, California: Bette Caan; Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin: Jane Morley Kotchen; MedStar Research Institute/Howard University, Washington, District of Columbia: Barbara V. Howard; Northwestern University, Chicago/Evanston, Illinois: Linda Van Horn; Rush-Presbyterian St. Luke's Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois: Henry Black; Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford, California: Marcia L. Stefanick; State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York: Dorothy Lane; The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio: Rebecca Jackson; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama: Cora E. Lewis; University of Arizona, Tucson/Phoenix, Arizona: Tamsen Bassford; University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York: Jean Wactawski-Wende; University of California at Davis, Sacramento, California: John Robbins; University of California at Irvine, Orange, California: Allan Hubbell; University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California: Howard Judd; University of California at San Diego, LaJolla/Chula Vista, California: Robert D. Langer; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio: Margery Gass; University of Florida, Gainesville/Jacksonville, Florida: Marian Limacher; University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii: David Curb; University of Iowa, Iowa City/ Davenport, Iowa: Robert Wallace; University Massachusetts/Fallon Clinic, Worcester, Massachusetts: Judith Ockene; University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey: Norman Lasser; University of Miami, Miami, Florida: Mary Jo O'Sullivan; University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota: Karen Margolis; University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada: Robert Brunner; University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina: Gerardo Heiss; University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania: Lewis Kuller; University of Tennessee, Memphis, Tennessee: Karen C. Johnson; University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas: Robert Brzyski; University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin: Gloria E. Sarto; Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina: Denise Bonds; Wayne State University School of Medicine/ Hutzel Hospital, Detroit, Michigan: Susan Hendrix.